PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2003P05953WO				FOR FURTHER A	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.				International filing d	ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/EP2004/004783				05.05.200	• •	11.07.2003		
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC							
H02H6/00								
Applicant SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT								
1.	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2.	This R	EPORT cons	sists of a total of	5	sheets, including	this cover sheet.		
3.	This re	port is also a	accompanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising	:			
	a. 🔽	(sent to	the applicant and	to the International B	ureau) a total of 6	sheets, as follows:		
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
	ь. [7		Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and number	r of electronic carrier(s))		
						containing a sequence listing and/or tables		
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4.	This re	port contains	s indications relatir	ng to the following ite	ms:			
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	report				
		Box No. II	Priority					
		Box No. III	Non-establis	shment of opinion with	h regard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			y of invention				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					ty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited			uments cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international app			cts in the international	l application				
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of thi	s report			
					_			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP				Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.				Telephone No				

Translation

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/004783

Box	No. I	Basis of	the report						
1.		regard to the lar ated under this it	eguage, this report is based on the internation	nal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise				
		which is the lan	ased on translations from the original langua guage of a translation furnished for the purp nal search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		,				
		publicatio	n of the international application (Rule 12.4)					
		internatio	nal preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and	/or 55.3)					
2.	rece	ving Office in re report):	ements of the international application, this sponse to an invitation under Article 14 and a sponse to an invitation under Article 14 and a spolication as originally filed/furnished						
		pages 1,2	,5-9		as originally filed/furnished				
Į.		pages* 3,3	a, 4	received by this Authority on	03.02.2005 with letter of 28.01.2005				
1		pages*		received by this Authority on					
	\boxtimes	the claims:							
		nos.			as originally filed/furnished				
		nos.*		or amended (togethe	with any statement) under Article 19				
					03.02.2005 with letter				
		nos.* 1-12							
	K 7	nos.*		received by this Authority on					
	\boxtimes	the drawings:							
		sheets 1/2	2-2/2		as originally filed/furnished				
		sheets*		received by this Authority on					
		sheets*		received by this Authority on					
	П	a sequence listi	ng and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem		isting				
		-		Emai 2011 Monaming to sequence 21					
3.	ш	The amendmen	ts have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the descri	the description, pages						
		the claims	the claims, nos.						
		the drawing	the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the seque	nce listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
4.			been established as if (some of) the amend considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi						
		the descri	ption, pages						
			the claims, nos the drawings, sheets/figs						
			nce listing (specify):	***	-				
*	If ite		e or all of those sheets may be marked "sup						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004783

	EVIERVATIONALTREE		PCT/EP2004/004783		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Ar citations and explanations sup			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12		YES
		Claims			NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-12		YES
		Claims			NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12		YES
		Claims		And the second s	NO
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule	70.7)			
	1. Reference	is ma	de to the following d	ocuments:	

- D1: EP-A-0 999 629 (ABB RESEARCH LTD), 10 May 2000 (2000-05-10)
- D2: US-B1-6 424 266 (COISH ROBERT GEORGE ET AL), 23 July 2002 (2002-07-23)
- D3: US-A-4 467 260 (MALLICK JR GEORGE T ET AL), 21 August 1984 (1984-08-21)
- 2. Independent claim 1
- 2.1 Document D1 is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1 in the present application. Claim 1 in D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to D1) a device for protecting an electrical machine against current overload (see page 6, line 43), comprising:
 - a current value provider for providing an instantaneous value for the current in the electrical machine (see page 6, lines 44 to 48; "acquisition and processing means").
- 2.2 The subject matter of claim 1 in the present application

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004783

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

differs from the known protection device in that it specifies the following:

- a prediction device for establishing a thermal motor model on the basis of the instantaneous current value, a specified current limit value and a time determined by the classification of the electrical machine, and for predicting an absolute or relative time value for a triggering reserve at which the thermal motor model reaches a value of 1, and
- an analysis device for analysing the time value for the triggering reserve in order to generate a control signal.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

- 2.3 The problem addressed by the present invention can thus be seen as that of providing a simple way to determine a switch-off time.
- 2.4 The solution proposed in claim 1 of the application involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) for the following reasons:

The calculation of the thermal state equations in document D1 does not involve establishing a thermal motor model. Document D2 makes no mention of a "thermal motor model" as a thermodynamic variable. Document D3 discloses an motor starter in which the rotor temperature is shown exponentially. The rotor temperature is different from the thermal motor model.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004783

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Thus there is nothing in D1, D2 or D3 that would suggest the solution according to claim 1.

2.5 The phrase "time determined by the classification of the electrical machine" in claim 1 is vague and unclear, and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature referred to. The subject matter of the claim is therefore not clearly defined (PCT Article 6).

3. Independent claim 7

The observations made in connection with device claim 1 also apply to method claim 7. The subject matter of claim 9 can therefore also be considered novel and inventive.

4. Dependent claims 2-6 and 8-12

Claims 2 to 6 and 8 to 12 are dependent on claims 1 and 7 and therefore also meet the PCT requirements in respect of novelty and inventive step.